

## "Reflexive" verbs, "no-fault *se*" and other myths about the pronoun *se* in Spanish

D. Eric Holt  
*University of South Carolina*

### Aims of the present talk:

This presentation will discuss the many uses of *se* and will debunk two myths about Spanish grammar: that there exist as separate entities (1) "reflexive" verbs and (2) an "accidental/unplanned-occurrence/no-fault" *se*. Instead, we will see that these "constructions" are merely labels, useful perhaps, but ultimately misleading and in impediment to a fuller, richer and more holistic grasp of Spanish grammar.

### 0. Organization of talk:

#### 1. Background

- Pronouns and Clitics
  - i. What's a pronoun? (Trick question.)
  - ii. What's a clitic? (A *what?*)
  - iii. What is the difference between a clitic and a "real" pronoun?
  - iv. How many kinds of indirect objects are there?
  - v. What does all this have to do with "reflexive" verbs and "no-fault *se*"?

#### 2. Myths about Spanish grammar to be debunked today

- a. That there are "reflexive" verbs
- b. That there is a "no-fault" *se*
- c. (That we use *se lo* (*la, los, las*) "because you can't say "le lo (etc.)")

#### 3. Other types/uses of *se* (If time.)

### I. Pronouns vs. Clitics

#### A. What's a pronoun?

(1) A form that stands in for a noun is called a pronoun;

- "pronoun" = "pro" (in place of, standing in for) + "noun"
  - *Johan, he; Merideth, she; My parents David and Carolyn, they; etc.*
  - *Juan, él; Maribel, ella; mis tíos Ellen y Ladd, ellos, etc.*

Are there forms that stand in for *other* parts of speech? Yes.

- There are also:
  - pro-verbs (*I believe it, do you?*) (*do* = 'believe')
  - pro-adverbs/prepositional phrases (I can't see anything on the hill / there; *No se ve nada en el monte / allí*) (*there* = 'on the hill'; *allí* = 'en el monte')
  - pro-adjectives (*¿Contenta? Sí lo es.*) (*lo* = 'content(ed)')

Thus, we can speak of "pro-forms" more generally.

- We can distinguish *clitics* from "strong" ("regular") pronouns.

## B. What's a clitic? (From a Greek word that means "to hang from, depend on")

(2) Clitics are unstressed or "weak" pronouns (*clíticos, pronombres átonos, "débiles"*)

- **Clitics** are not pronouns!

What does this *mean*?

- They don't behave grammatically like the other pronouns.

---

**Table 1: The pronouns of Spanish**

<b>de sujeto</b>	<b>de preposición</b>
<i>yo</i>	<i>mí</i> (But, <i>según yo</i> ; <i>entre tú y yo</i> ; <i>excepto tú</i> )
<i>tú</i>	<i>ti</i>
<i>él, ella, Ud.</i>	<i>él, ella, Ud.</i>
<i>nosotros/as</i>	<i>nosotros/as</i>
<i>vosotros/as</i>	<i>vosotros/as</i>
<i>ellos/as, Uds.</i>	<i>ellos/as, Uds.</i>

---

**Table 2: The clitics of Spanish**

<b>de sujeto</b> (we'll come back to these)	<b>de complemento</b>	
	<b>directo</b>	<b>indirecto</b>
<i>me</i>	<i>me</i>	<i>me</i>
<i>te</i>	<i>te</i>	<i>te</i>
<i>se</i>	<i>lo, (le), la</i>	<i>le</i> ( <i>se</i> espúreo, "falso")
<i>nos</i>	<i>nos</i>	<i>nos</i>
<i>os</i>	<i>os</i>	<i>os</i>
<i>se</i>	<i>los, (les), las</i>	<i>les</i> ( <i>se</i> espúreo, "falso")

---

## C. Differences between clitics and pronouns

(3) Tests of "cliticity/cliticness":

- a. Clitics can't be **separated** from the verb; pronouns can:

*¿Quién habla ruso? — Yo.*

*¿A quién viste? — \*Lo. (A él.)* (The \* indicates that this is an ungrammatical form.)

*¿A quién mandaste la carta? — \*Le. (A ella.)*

(Compare this with French too: *Moi aussi* (\**Je*.) vs. *Yo también*.)

- b. Pronouns can be **coordinated**; clitics can't:

*Él y ella salieron.*

\* *Lo y la conozco.* (Cf. *I know him and her*; (strong) pronouns in English.)

\* *Te y le mandé la carta.* (Cf. *I sent it to you and him*.)

- c. Pronouns may appear in any **order** with respect to one another; clitics have a set order;

*El y ella / Ella y él trabajan.*

*Se lo doy. \*Lo se doy.*

**Rule:** *se II I III* (Perlmutter 1971:45, Surface-Structure Constraint, SSC)

*Te lo doy.* (II III)

*No te me vayas. (II I)*

*No te me los comas. (II I III)*

*Que no se me los coma. (se I III)*

**Order of direct and indirect objects: Cl<sub>IO</sub> Cl<sub>DO</sub> Verb DO IO**

- d. **Negation:** Clitics occur after it (can't be separated from verb)

*Ella no vio al hombre.*

*(Ella) No lo vio.*

- (4) **Direct object clitics:** (*me, te, lo, la, nos, os, los, las*) replace the direct object

*Veo a María → La veo. (\*La veo a María.)*

- (5) **Indirect object clitics:** (*me*, *te*, *le* (*se*), *nos*, *os*, *les* (*se*)) normally replace indirect object

*¿Escribiste a María? — Sí, le escribí una carta ayer.*

*Se la escribí.*

- *se* here is spurious, "false";
    - that is, it's not a "real" *se*
    - This *se* and *le* are actually cognates: they come from the same word in Latin:

### History:

Latin *illelo* (from ILLE ILLO 'to him it'

> želo (spelled *gelo*; [ž] is the sound in the middle of *azure*; or of *ll* in *calle* for some Argentines)

> šelo ([š] is the first sound of *shoe*; or of *ll* in *calle* for some Argentines)

> selo

First myth debunked! (2.c. from section 0 above.)

D. How many types/kinds/uses of an indirect object are there?

- (6) There are actually several types of indirect object:

- a. Use of the clitic is optional when IO is RECIPIENT (not necessary to use it since the verb, a verb of transfer, already indicates function of IO)

*Yo (le) envié una carta a María.*

(Some other verbs of transfer: *dar, entregar, regalar, ofrecer, prestar, enviar, recomendar, enseñar, (d)escribir, etc.*)

- The IO clitic is **obligatory** in all other cases:

- the IO can be POSSESSOR:

Kathy's husband kissed her hand. *Su marido le besó la mano a Kathy.*

(part of body; inseparable; “inalienable possession”)

(*Me lavé los dientes* is really the same kind of thing: “reflexive” because IO = subject)

*Les corté el pelo a los niños.*

- the IO can be BENEFACTIVE:

I translated the sentence for Karen.

*Yo le traduje la oración a Karen.*

... *Mi mamá me leyó una historia.*

... *Regina le puso los libros en la mesa al profe.*

- the IO can indicate SEPARATION:

I took the toy (away) from the child.

*Yo le quité el juguete al niño.*

*Le escondiste los libros al profe.*

- This can lead to multiply ambiguous sentences sometimes, depending on the interpretation of the semantic role or function of the IO:

(7) *Le vendí el libro a Pedro.*

to Pedro	RECEPTOR
for P	BENEFACTIVO
P's book	POSEEDOR
out from under P	SEPARACIÓN

- Finally, the IO can also indicate EXPERIENCE / PARTICIPATION:

*Le es fácil hablar español.*

*Te es urgente estudiar los verbos.*

*Me fue bien.*

*Nos es difícil levantarnos a las seis.*

*Os va a ser imposible llegar a tiempo.*

*Les salió de maravilla.*

- For these multiple possibilities, Bull (1965:258) prefers to use the term "**involved entity**" when talking about the IO (Whitley 137).

## E. What does this all have to do with the myths of "reflexive" verbs and "no-fault *se*"?

### i. More on subject clitics:

They always agree with the subject:

(8) *Yo me quejo*                    *Nosotros nos quejamos*

*Tú te quejas*                    *Vosotros os quejáis*

*Él se queja*                    *Ellos se quejan*

- Sole function: relate to/mark the subject.
- **Reflexive** = I do and I receive action of the verb
  - action leaves from and lands at same entity, so to speak

Actions in (a.) above are not reflexive!

- Instead, we may call verbs of this type PRONOMINAL verbs.
  - That is, the clitic pronouns are simply part of the verb.

(Other verbs of this type that are always like this: *atreverse a, arrepentirse de, abstenerse de, acordarse de, empeñarse en, cerciorarse de* (make certain), *darse cuenta de, jactarse de, vanagloriarse de, fugarse de, comportarse de, burlarse de, obstinarse en, empescinarse en* (insist upon), *dignarse a, preciarse de*.)

### (9) Pronominal vs. nonpronominal verbs:

nonpronominal	pronominal (V <u>  </u> se) (verb ends in <i>se</i> )
<i>sentir</i> (percepción externa)	<i>sentirse</i> (percepción interna— <i>me siento triste</i> )
<i>sentar</i> ( <i>a</i> alguien; to seat)	<i>sentarse</i> (sit)
<i>parecer</i> (seem)	<i>parecerse</i> (to look like)
<i>sonar</i> (sound)	<i>sonarse</i> (blow your nose)
<i>llevar</i> (carry)	<i>llevarse</i> (to get along; to steal)
<i>ir</i> (go; in the direction)	<i>irse</i> (leave)

- meaning is different; really two different (though obviously related) verbs.
  - In a sense, SE creates a new verb.

### (10) RECIPROCAL constructions ("Reciprocal **SE**" for Whitley)

*Ellos se aman (el uno al otro)*                    VERB = *amar* (= direct object; *a* = "personal" *a*)

- The subject gives action, also receives it, but from other source

FUNCTION of SE:

- relate back to the subject
- relate back to direct or indirect object
- really, serves as a **bridge** between subject and object, connecting them both

Ellos se escriben cartas *el uno al otro*. (a = preposition of indirect object)

Juan y Margarita se enamoraron *uno del otro*.

Las hermanas se compraban ropa *la una para la otra*.

Gore y Bush se lanzan insultos *el uno contra el otro*.

Etc.

- These are cases of reciprocals with indirect object;
  - Note that preposition is determined by the verb
    - That is, "el uno al otro" ≠ "reciprocal" per se; it's just one case. (Well, two, really: a of specified human direct object, and preposition a of indirect object.)
  - By the way, why do we have to say "(el/los) uno(s)...(el/los) otro(s), (la/s) una(s)...(la/s) otra(s)"? That is, why is there always agreement?
    - Because the clitic in the reciprocal construction refers to the subject as a unit, and whenever there's a mix of genders that form the subject, the "default" forms, the masculine, are used;
      - only when the subject is exclusively feminine do we use *la una a la otra, las unas de las otras, unas para otras*, etc.

## ii. Back to "reflexive" verbs:

### (11) REFLEXIVE CONSTRUCTION —NOT "verbo reflexivo".

("True reflexive **SE**" for Whitley)

(hacer para sí mismo, y recibe de sí mismo)

Juan se afeita (*A SÍ MISMO*)

Juan se escribe cartas (*A SÍ MISMO*)

AFEITARSE = verbo pronominal → to have it done

Juan se afeita en la peluquería.

El bebé se baña *a sí mismo* (*bañar*)

El bebé se baña en la pila (*bañarse*) = he gets a bath.

Myth 2 debunked! Two down, one more to go...

## iii. Back to "no-fault **se**":

- Is there really such a thing as **SE** of "unplanned/accidental occurrence"? No.

In fact, traditional grammars written for native Spanish speakers don't make mention of such a construction.

Q: Why not?

A: Because it's really derivative of what we've just seen:

- i. use of a verb with **se** (pronominal, passive, etc.)
- ii. use of indirect object clitic of "involved entity" of some sort

Examples:

(12) **Se me va** el santo al cielo fácilmente      **Se nos armó** un lío (un escándalo).  
 ('I lose my train of thought easily.'')

**Se te olvidará** la respuesta.

**Se os escapaban** los secretos.

**Se le cayó** el peine.

Que a Pepa **se le pierdan** las llaves (es raro).  
 etc.

- The subjects of the verbs here aren't performing a "reflexive" action;
- Rather, *se* indicates a particular meaning of the verb (in the case of pronominals) or gives us grammatical information about the participants (in the case of the passive);
- The IO clitic indicates that some entity is affected ("involved") in some way.

Myth 3 debunked!

## F. Conclusions:

While we might talk about "reflexive" verbs and an "accidental/unplanned-occurrence/no-fault" *se*, these aren't really "constructions", but merely labels, perhaps useful, but ultimately misleading and in impediment to the fuller, richer and more holistic grasp of Spanish grammar presented here.

- (Other) types of **SE**:

1.      **SE** espúreo

*Se lo di. (se = le)*

2.      **SE** impersonal ACTIVO (= "uno")

*Se habla español* "One speaks Spanish here." (ACTIVA)

*Se venden libros.*

- siempre es ACTIVO
- siempre es 3a SINGULAR
- NO SE USA EN EL PRETÉRITO
- Bloquea la impersonalidad

- Ej.: *Tú cortas la carne, luego la echas al fuego, ...*

- pero, *Cortaste la carne...* (ya no es impersonal)

- (*Se bailó en la fiesta* = pasiva; = "There was dancing at the party." Véase abajo.)

3.      **SE** PASIVO

a.      *José publicó la novela.*

b.      *La novela fue publicada. (ser+ p.p.)*

c.      *La novela se publicó. (se marca que es pasiva)*

- Concuerda: *Las novelas se publicaron.* ("The novels were published.")

- Resumen:      *Se publica las novelas.* (impersonal activo)  
                        *Se publican las novelas.* (pasivo)  
                        *Se publicó las novelas.* (impersonal pasivo; "There were novels published.")

4.      ***SE*** impersonal PASIVO

*Se publicó las novelas.* (impersonal pasivo; "There were novels published.")

*Se bailó.* ("There was dancing.")

*Se bailó en la fiesta.*

- There is dancing. (impersonal pasivo)
- One dances. (impersonal activo)

Se puede usar el pretérito

No hay concordancia (siempre III sg.)

5.      ***SE*** pronominal ("Lexical or inherent ***SE***" for Whitley)

*Juan se duchó, se bañó, se cortó el pelo en la peluquería.*

- (For Whitley: "Lexical or inherent ***SE***", "Meaning-changing and/or inchoative ***SE***", "Intransitive or decausative ***SE***", "Reflexive ***SE*** of emotional reaction", "Causative ***SE***".)

6.      ***SE*** recíproco

*Ellos se aman el uno al otro.* (la preposición varía según el verbo)

7.      ***SE*** de construcción reflexiva

*Juana se vistió a sí misma.*

8.      ***SE*** medio ("middle" ***se***) (ni activo, ni pasivo)

*El caviar se come con vino.* ("Caviar is eaten/should be eaten with wine." Not by anyone in particular, so not passive)

*El barro se modela fácilmente.*

Es como una pasiva, pero es genérico; mezcla de activo y pasivo.

Típicamente requiere de un adverbio.

*Ese libro se vende bien.* ("That book sells well." But the book doesn't sell anything.)

That doesn't compute. The book sells/reads well. Etc.

## References

- Azevedo, Milton M. 1992. *Introducción a la lingüística española*. Prentice Hall.
- Campos, Héctor. 1993. *De la oración simple a la oración compuesta: Curso superior de sintaxis española*. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press. (Particularly pp. 4-7 (*sujetos pronominales silentes y tipos de se*))
- Whitley, M. Stanley, *Spanish/English contrasts*, Georgetown University Press, 1986.

---

## Presenter information:

D. Eric Holt  
Department of Spanish, Italian and Portuguese  
University of South Carolina  
Columbia, SC 29208  
(803) 777-4884  
[holt@sc.edu](mailto:holt@sc.edu)  
<http://www.cla.sc.edu/SIP/faculty/holt.htm>

---

## Courses in Spanish Linguistics for 2000-2001:

### Flyer for Summer and Fall courses:

<http://www.cla.sc.edu/sip/faculty/Holt/Courses/Flyer2000.htm>

### Summer Session II: (July 3-August 4)

SPAN 517 / LING 514 *Contrastive English-Spanish Phonetics and Phonology*  
M Tu W Th 10:30-12:45

### Fall 2000: SPAN 515 / LING 504 *Introduction to Spanish Linguistics*

Tu Th 3:30-4:45

(Course website for last Fall: <http://aim.deis.sc.edu:8088/courses/SPAN515>;  
enter as "guest" for both userid and password.)

### Spring 2001: SPAN 715 / LING 704 *History of the Spanish Language*

- If you'd like me to send you or your school a flyer, contact me at address above.

---

## USC Graduate Degrees in Spanish

### New options for 2000-2001:

- M.A. in Spanish with concentration in Hispanic Linguistics
- Ph.D. in Linguistics with a minor specialization in Hispanic Literature

For further information, visit: <http://www.cla.sc.edu/SIP>.

For USC Linguistics Program courses and general information, visit:  
<http://www.cla.sc.edu/LING>